**Presentation Rubric**

Student Name\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
Project\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Goal** | **1**  **Needs Improvement** | **2**  **Acceptable** | **3**  **Outstanding** |
| Presentation targeted chosen energy source | No presentation created | Presentation created, but was not clear about chosen energy source | Presentation created that was clear and concise, targeting the chosen energy source |
| Presentation included Cost Data | No cost data offered | Some cost data presented, but not detailed. Missing information about cost to build or maintain power plant | Data included provided specific details into the costs to build and maintain selected energy power plant |
| Power Data | No power data offered | Data included but not specific, missing one or more components | Data included specific information listing kwh of power the new power plant would produce |
| Comparison | Did not compare to alternative energy source | Compared the two energy sources but did not include at least 5 specific reasons | Compared selected energy source with the alternative source clearly listing at least 5 specific reasons to choose the selected source |
| Charts | No charts included | Less than two charts presented | Presentation included at least two different charts outlining either cost or power data or both |
| Organization | The presentation was poorly organized, no logical sequence, no introduction or conclusion, not easy to follow | The presentation was not well-organized, or lacked a logical sequence, or did not include an introduction and a conclusion, or was not easy to follow | The presentation was well-organized, flowed in a logical sequence, included an introduction and a strong conclusion, and was easy to follow |

TOTAL: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_/18